



OPEN EDUCATION IN HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT IN ASIA'S PERIOD OF INTEGRATION

**The 32nd Annual Conference of the Asian
Association of Open Universities**

24 – 26 October 2018
Meliã Hanoi Hotel, 44B Ly Thuong Kiet Street, Hanoi, Vietnam

BACH KHOA PUBLISHING HOUSE

Vol 1

**OPEN EDUCATION IN HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT
IN ASIA'S PERIOD OF INTEGRATION**

Bach Khoa Publishing House, Hanoi

1 – Dai Co Viet – Hanoi

Tel. (84.24) 3868 4569; Fax. (84.24) 3868 4570

<http://nxbbk.hust.edu.vn>

-----***-----

Responsible for Publication

Director – Editor-in-Chief: Dr. BUI DUC HUNG

Editor: DO THANH THUY

Book designer: NGUYEN THI THU

Cover designer: DUONG HOANG ANH

Printed at: Tandaiviet Printing and Office Services Joint Stock Company, 16 Chua Lang Street,
Dong Da District, Hanoi.

Quantity: 50 copies. Size: (21 × 29.7) cm.

Registration No.: 3592-2018/CXBIPH/10-83/BKHN; ISBN: 978-604-95-0635-2.

Decision publish No.: 209/QĐ-ĐHBK-BKHN, 18/10/2018.

Archived: IV/2018.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOL 1

HEARABLES FOR ONLINE LEARNING	
Rory McGreal	viii
HUMAN RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT AND NICHEs OF OPEN UNIVERSITIES	
Kam Cheong LI	xiv
OPEN EDUCATION AND DISTANCE LEARNING FOR HUMAN RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT IN VIETNAM	
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nguyen Mai Huong	xx
1. RECONCEPTUALISATION OF HUMAN CAPITAL IN OPEN UNIVERSITY	
Amalia Kusuma Wardini, S.E., M.Com., Ph.D.	1
2. ALUMNUS PERCEIVED EFFECTIVENESS OF OPEN EDUCATION	
AMPB Abeysinghe, BG Jayatilleke, BCL Athapattu, L.P.S. Gamini	8
3. THE EFFECT OF HUMAN RESOURCES AND USE OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY ON THE PERFORMANCE OF TUTORS AS EDUCATIONAL DIAGNOSTICIANS IN FACE-TO-FACE TUTORIALS OF UNIVERSITAS TERBUKA	
Andi Sylvana, Murtiadi Awaluddin.....	26
4. AN EMPIRICAL STUDY TO ASSESS THE SERVICE QUALITY ASPECTS ON THE STUDENT SATISFACTION IN SRI LANKAN ODL SETTING	
Asanka Senevirathne, Jayani Hapugoda, Dhammika Katupulla	34
5. LEADERSHIP AND DISTANCE LEARNING: EXPLORING ROLES OF ADMINISTRATORS AND LEADERS IN DIGITAL AGE	
Bakare Kazeem Kayode	45
6. ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE: A STUDY ON FRICTIONS BETWEEN INVISIBLE BUREAUCRATIC RULES IN SCHOOL AND PROFESSIONAL DEMANDS BY TEACHERS IN MALAYSIA PRIVATE SCHOOLS: A PRELIMINARY FINDINGS	
Bakare Kazeem Kayode, Shahri Abdul Rahman, Gamal Eldin Mohammed, Ayman Aled Mohammed Mamdouh, Safia Naji Esmail Al-Duais, Ruqilah Naji Esmail Al-Duais.....	70
7. BEST PRACTICE FOR PROVIDING COMPREHENSIVE STUDENT SERVICE TO STUDENTS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS	
Dr. Chang Yeul Yang, Professor Ock Tae Kim	80
8. A FOUR-YEAR STRATEGIC HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR DMMMSU, PHILIPPINES	
Cynthia C. Sampaga, Diosdado B. Bangug	85

72. APPLYING CLOUD COMPUTING AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TO BUILD LIFELONG LEARNING MODEL Thi-Cuc Le, Thi-Hanh Quach, To Uyen-Nguyen Thi, Manh-Hung Nguyen, The-Cong Dinh	746
73. HIGH INTERACTIVE FOR ONLINE TRAINING IN THE NEAR FUTURE Doctor's candidate: Truong Tien Binh, MBA: Bui Thi Nga	760
74. LEARNING ANALYTICS: TECHNICAL AND OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION TSUNEO YAMADA	771
75. THE INDIA MOOCS INITIATIVE SWAYAM: ISSUES AND CHALLENGES FROM A NATIONAL COORDINATOR'S PERSPECTIVE Uma Kanjilal	776
76. AN INNOVATIVE WAY OF DEVELOPING SELF – LEARNING MATERIAL BY EMBEDDING QR-CODES TO ENHANCE LEARNING EXPERIENCE V. V. Subrahmanyam and K. Swathi	783
77. THE APPLICATION OF MICRO-COURSE IN OPEN EDUCATION – FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF TEACHING IN ENGLISH INTERPRETATION Wang Yan	789
78. THE ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING THE QUALITY OF E-LEARNING AND STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES Wildoms Sahusilawane, Lilian S. Hiariey	795
79. COMBINING ATTRIBUTE VERIFICATION TO IMPROVE PERSON REIDENTIFICATION Xinyu Ou, Qianzhi Ma, Yijin Wang	805
80. TOWARDS ENVIRONMENTALLY-FRIENDLY EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS Zainur Hidayah, Lilik Aslichwati.....	823
81. APPLICATION OF DATA VISUALIZATION TECHNOLOGY IN OPEN EDUCATION – ILLUSTRATED WITH THE EXAMPLE OF ENROLLMENT MANAGEMENT Zhijun Guo, Min Yang.....	830
82. MODELING STUDENTS' SATISFACTION THROUGH INTERACTION AND COURSE STRUCTURE IN ONLINE LEARNING Zulfahmi, Faridah Iriani	837
83. OPEN LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES FOR ADULTS IN THE INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION 4.0 Dr. Truong Tien Tung, Dr. Dinh Tuan Long	851

THE ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING THE QUALITY OF E-LEARNING AND STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Wildoms Sahusilawane¹, Lilian S. Hiariey²

¹ *Universitas Terbuka, Ambon Regional Office (INDONESIA)*

² *Universitas Terbuka, Ambon Regional Office (INDONESIA)*

Abstract

This research aims at examining the effect of factors affecting the quality of e-learning and student learning outcomes of Universitas Terbuka. The study population included the students of Non Education at Universitas Terbuka from which we drew 96 respondents. Our sampling involved non probability method, i.e., purposive sampling, based on whom we thought would be appropriate for the study. We dealt with primary data that included respondents' answers through questionnaires and interviews.

The questionnaires were analyzed by means of correlation and multiple regression analysis, where we summarized a given data set using descriptive statistics. We also addressed the selection of variables using test reliability and test validity. Classical assumption test, including multicollinearity test, was run to look at whether the variables were related. Once multicollinearity test was completed, we settled on hypothesis testing to arrive at an inferential analysis about the given population that determined if a particular claim was statistically significant.

F-test showed that the variable of ease of use, information quality, material quality and media quality simultaneously had positive and significant effect on the quality of e-learning and student learning outcomes. T-test showed that media quality and material quality had positive and significant partial effect on the quality of e-learning. Ease of use and information quality, however, were not found to have significant partial effect on the quality of e-learning.

Similar to the partial relationship between media quality, material quality and the quality of e-learning, the partial effect of the quality of e-learning on student learning outcomes was positive and significant.

Keywords: ease of use, information quality, material quality, media quality, quality of E-learning and learning outcomes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Distance learning at Universitas Terbuka (UT), as opposed to the conventional face-to-face learning, calls for a self-study mode that navigates the students to complete their studies at their own pace and time. Distance learning serves as an educational option that enables students to fully harness their potential and facilities in order to meets their individual needs, interests and styles of learning.

Given its distant nature, UT captures the essence of education that takes place when the teacher is not physically present. As technology turns not only an archive of information, but also an actual medium of communication and collaboration, the physical barrier of teachers and students during instruction is overcome, more often than not, and these kinds of educational interactions are

increasingly possible within this new technological platform. This technological platform is the staple component since it shapes the culture of learning environment in ways that enable students to construct knowledge both individually and in collaboration with teachers and peers.

Handayani [1] taps into the factors that affect the use of information system in her research and believes that quality information results from a well-designed information system. It is for this reason that information system is designed to hone in on the needs of individuals to sustain operational activities across all levels within an organization.

The development of information and communication technology plays a central role and provides strategic directions in the ever-growing educational landscape. Evidence over the past years has clearly indicated that access to information and communication technology has been framed through new concepts and efforts in terms of technology use in learning process that favors student-centered opportunities. Everyday technological advances and their global use make it more plausible for more technology to be introduced in educational practices and to be a vital component for the success of self-regulated students in distance learning setting.

The level of student achievement is, within a broad framework, influenced by several factors, which may take internal and external forms [2]. Internal factors strongly correlate with the physical health and psychological well-being (e.g., mental preparedness, maturity, intelligence, interest, talent and motivation) – all of which manifest in their school-related behaviors. External factors are most strongly driven by the environment of family, school and society. Internal factors result in negative or positive reactions to myriad stimuli in the external environment.

Information quality serves to be one of the external factors that drive excellence in learning outcomes in relation to the use of learning media by teachers. Information quality essentially measures the quality of output the information system generates [3]. Quality information is relevant for its purposes concerning the needs of students. When the quality of information is enhanced in terms of accuracy, relevancy, completeness, timeliness and attractiveness, better teaching practices and learning outcomes will be fully realized. Information quality can capture the optimal use of information technology to nurture learning activities in a way that students actively engage to pursue higher learning productivity and gain better outcomes.

Technology-based learning that leverages on the use of computer and the Internet, commonly known as e-learning, has been widely used and comes with effectiveness that has ranged broadly. Hamdani [4] fits e-learning into an educational system or concept that makes use of the systematic application of technology in addressing practical tasks in learning. The integration of e-learning into distance learning platform allows for anywhere-anytime flexibility that overcomes time and place barriers.

However in reality, the application of E-learning, though its importance in distance education is very well-recognized, falls short of expectation at Universitas Terbuka where it is not fully developed in providing online information retrieval services. There is also inequitable access to UT website for students to extend their range of learning. In such circumstances, students jeopardize their grade when it comes to dealing with final examination (Ujian Akhir Semester-UAS). Students who earn poor grades are not properly exposed to the e-learning services. This unfortunate circumstance aggravates when extending e-learning opportunities to students in rural and remote areas where access to information and communication is limited remain a challenge. Another predicament students encounter on a daily basis emerges when they struggle to understand the initiation materials delivered by tutors. These materials have yet to mandate supplementary facilities such as Open Educational

Resources (OER), a free learning-material service that enhances access to knowledge and personalized learning experience.

Deni Darmawan [5] contends that information contains a number of meaningful output gained from data processing procedures to ensure the integrity and utility of the data for subsequent use according to users' needs. As for the conception of quality, it refers to a dynamic state within an organization associated with products, services, human resources, processes and environments calls for meeting and exceeding expectations [6].

E-learning method capitalizes on the appropriate utilization of the Internet to enable knowledge transfer and delivery. E-learning presents contemporary education with a new spectrum of practices that involve the use of computer or electronic devices, as the "e" stands for electronic. As the name implies, e-learning can deal with a great variety of electronic resources. Through e-learning, distance students manage to capture and analyze more data in an unprecedented manner [4].

Zaenal Arifin [7] claims that learning achievement is observable and measurable, and defining it is critical to measure what students have achieved individually and collaboratively upon the completion of a course or a program. Learning achievement serves not only the indicator of individual success in a given course or a program, but also the quality of the educational institution. When it comes to learning media, Hujair AH Sanaky [8] believes students can engage in a more meaningful learning experience by means of learning media as it serves to convey learning messages. Gangne' & Brings in Azhar Arsyad [9] claim that "Learning media constitutes a physical tool to deliver media-rich educational contents, including books, tape recorders, cassettes, video cameras, video recorders, films, photos, images, graphics, televisions and computers."

With regard to the usefulness of learning media and the perceived ease of use, Jogianto [3] proposes that ease of use accounts for user perception on the extent to which he/she believes that using a particular technology will be effortless. Higher perceived ease of use will lead to higher perceived usefulness and ultimately greater intensity of the use of learning media. The definition implies that the construct of perceived ease of use is indispensable part of decision making process.

We have set out a wide array of literature that weighs in on e-learning practices and what builds a technological momentum that successfully integrates e-learning within distance learning framework, which includes ease of use, information quality, material quality and media quality. We sought to look at the effect of these variables on the quality of e-learning. We then proceeded to observe the effect of these variables, including the quality of e-learning, on student learning outcomes.

We dealt with e-learning students at 5 locations of UPBJJ-UT as the population of the study. We reached out our samples using purposive sampling method that served the purpose of the study. We provided a primary source of data on which the study was based. These data were quantitative in nature, i.e., numerical data amenable to statistical manipulation [10] obtained from respondents' answers through direct questionnaires. These data were observed and collected firsthand, as opposed to the fabricated secondary data. Once the data were converted into numerical form, we subjected them to statistical analysis.

We employed a variety of data testing techniques, i.e., correlation analysis and regression analysis in SPSS. The results of the analysis summed up in descriptive statistics as we proceeded to data quality test and classical assumption test prior to hypothesis test and discussion.

2. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

We distributed 105 questionnaires to the Non Basic Education students from the 3rd to the 8th semester at five study sites, i.e., Ambon, Denpasar, Surabaya, Manado and Samarinda. Table 1 shows the total number of returned questionnaires, and of 105, 101 respondents (96%) returned the

questionnaires. The column labeled "usable returns" includes all the returned forms that could be used for further data processing. We acquired 96 usable returns for analysis, accounting for 91% of the total questionnaires.

Table 1. Summary of Questionnaire Response

Questionnaires distributed	105
Questionnaires returned	101
Incomplete questionnaires	4
Usable returns	96
Response rate	96%
Usable response rate	91%

Source: Processed primary data

2.1. Result of Data Analysis

Data should be sufficiently accurate for the intended objectives of the study and measure what is supposed to be measured. We, hence, settled on test reliability and test validity to serve the imperative for maintaining the standards of data quality.

2.1.1. Result of Test Reliability and Test Validity

Test reliability measures the degree to which the instrument of a study is consistent. To measure whether our instrument was internally consistent, we ran Cronbach's alpha (α) using the reliability command in SPSS, where $\alpha > 0.70$ denotes acceptable reliability (Widoyoko, 2014). As we shall see below, each of our items gained > 0.70 , making them internally consistent and, thus, reliable.

Table 2. Result of Test Reliability

No	Variable	Cronbach's Alpha	Description
1	Ease of Use	0.883	Reliable
2	Information Quality	0.821	Reliable
3	Material Quality	0.847	Reliable
4	Media Quality	0.865	Reliable
5	E-learning Quality	0.868	Reliable
6	Learning Outcomes	0.890	Reliable

Source: Processed primary data

Test validity by means of Pearson's correlation method shown in Table 3 shows that the correlation coefficient of each item with the total score of the variable is significant at 0.01 (two-tailed).

Table 3. Result of Validity Test

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>Pearson's Correlation</i>	<i>Description</i>
Ease of Use	K1	0.819	Valid
	K2	0.786	Valid
	K3	0.808	Valid
	K4	0.876	Valid
	K5	0.840	Valid
Information Quality	K11	0.626	Valid
	K12	0.695	Valid
	K13	0.678	Valid
	K14	0.683	Valid
	K15	0.751	Valid
	K16	0.762	Valid
	K17	0.679	Valid
Material Quality	KM1	0.787	Valid
	KM2	0.780	Valid
	KM3	0.825	Valid
	KM4	0.770	Valid
	KM5	0.786	Valid
Media Quality	KMD1	0.869	Valid
	KMD2	0.807	Valid
	KMD3	0.845	Valid
	KMD4	0.857	Valid
E-learning Quality	KE1	0.787	Valid
	KE2	0.796	Valid
	KE3	0.779	Valid
	KE4	0.735	Valid
	KE5	0.785	Valid
	KE6	0.675	Valid
	KE7	0.703	Valid
Learning Outcomes	PB1	0.760	Valid
	PB2	0.810	Valid
	PB3	0.837	Valid
	PB4	0.811	Valid
	PB5	0.803	Valid
	PB6	0.803	Valid

Source: Processed primary data

Table 3 indicates that r_{cal} of the six variables is greater than r_{table} 0.200. The item-item questionnaire is, therefore, significantly correlated with the total score, making each item of the construct valid.

We ran hypothesis test in multiple regressions to allow us to carry out inferences about population parameters using data from the sample. We adopted path analysis to test mediation or indirect effect of e-learning quality (KE), which was both a predictor and an outcome in the same analysis; e-learning quality caused mediation in the independent variables (ease of use [K], information quality [KI], material quality [KM] and media quality [KMD]) and in the dependent variable (learning outcome [PB]). Path equation was calculated using two regression equations that showed the hypothesized relationships.

$$\text{Equation 1..... KE} = b_1 K + b_2 KI + b_3 KM + b_4 KMD + e_1$$

$$\text{Equation 2..... PB} = b_1 K + b_2 KI + b_3 KM + b_4 KMD + b_5 KE + e_2$$

2.1.2. Hypothesis Test

Model 1. The Analysis of Ease of Use, Information Quality, Material Quality and Media Quality on the Quality of E-Learning

Table 4 shows the regression results of the effect of ease of use, information quality, material quality and media quality on the quality of e-learning.

Table 4. Regression Analysis Model 1

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	.848	1.633		.519	.605
	K	.136	.091	.102	1.485	.141
	KI	.043	.093	.039	.465	.643
	KM	.550	.113	.412	4.887	.000
	KMD	.706	.137	.425	5.145	.000
R ² : 0.782 F _{Cal} : 81.868 Sig : 0.000						

Source: Processed Primary Data

F_{cal} in ANOVA is 81.868 with a significance of F test showing p-value of 0,000 (< 0.05). This leads ease of use, information quality, material quality and media quality, simultaneously, to be statistically significant in the quality of e-learning. R² of 0.782 implies that 78.2% of the variation in the quality of e-learning could be explained by the four independent variables. The remaining 0.218 or 21.8% accounts for other factors not included in the model.

In terms of partial test, both media quality and material quality gained significance of 0.000 (<0.05), with the former standing at 5.145 and the latter at 4.887. Both had positive and significant partial effect on the quality of e-learning.

However, the t-statistics of information quality and ease of use are not similar to the prior two variables. Information quality gained significance of 0.643 (> 0.05) with T_{Cal} of 0.645, indicating positive but insignificant effect on the quality of e-learning. As for ease of use, the significance level is

less than 0.05, i.e., 0.141, with T_{cal} of 1.485, also indicating positive but insignificant effect on the quality of e-learning.

Based on Table 4, we can sum up the equation of regression model 1:

$$KE = b_1 K + b_2 KI + b_3 KM + b_4 KMD + e_1$$

$$Y_1 = 0.102 + 0.039X_1 + 0.412X_2 + 0.425X_3 + 0.466$$

Model 2. The Analysis of Ease of Use, Information Quality, Material Quality, Media Quality, and E-Learning Quality on Learning Outcomes

Table 5 provides the regression results of the effect of ease of use, information quality, material quality, media quality and e-learning quality on learning outcomes.

Table 5. Results of T Test on Learning Outcomes

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	.467	1.757		.266	.791
	K	-.124	.099	-.099	-1.248	.215
	KI	.150	.100	.144	1.507	.135
	KM	.129	.136	.103	.946	.347
	KMD	.227	.168	.146	1.355	.179
	KE	.550	.113	.587	4.887	.000
R² : 0.717 F_{cal} : 45.601 Sig : 0.000						

Source: Processed primary data

F_{cal} in ANOVA is 45.601 with a significance of F test showing p-value of 0.000 (< 0.05). This leads the simultaneous effect of ease of use, information quality, material quality and media quality including the quality of e-learning to be statistically significant on learning outcomes. The resulting r^2 of 0.717 implies that 71.7% of the variation in the learning outcomes could be explained by the aforementioned five independent variables. The remaining 0,283 or 28.3% accounts for other factors not included in the model.

When it comes to partial T test, the quality of e-learning gains significance of 0.000 (< 0.05) with T_{cal} of 4.887, indicating positive and significant effect on learning outcomes. The other independent variables showed insignificant relationship with learning outcomes, with media quality standing at a significance level of 0.179 (> 0.05), material quality at 0.347 (> 0.05), information quality at 0.135 (> 0.05), ease of use at 0.141 (> 0.05). However, ease of use, though insignificant, showed positive effect on learning outcome with T_{cal} of 1.485.

The equation of regression model 2 is:

$$PB = b_1 K + b_2 KI + b_3 KM + b_4 KMD + b_5 KE + e_2$$

$$PB = -0.099 X1 + 0.144 X2 + 0.103 X3 + 0.146 X4 + 0.587 Y1 + 0.531$$

2.1.3. Discussion

2.1.3.1. The Effect of Ease of Use, Information Quality, Material Quality and Media Quality on the Quality of E-Learning

We have looked at the possible statistically significant differences on the dependent variables as a result of the effects of the independent variables. F test, as previously shown, informs that the simultaneous effect of the independent variables was significant on the quality of e-learning with a probability value of $0.000 < 0.05$. This finding provides us the representation and understanding of the simultaneous relationship between the multiple independent variables and the quality of e-learning.

When it comes to the partial test, we have come to understand that material quality and media quality, individually, had significant effect on the quality of e-learning at 5%. Sustaining and improving the quality of learning materials and learning media are pivotal to the quality of e-learning. In the scenario of distance learning, studying remotely calls for more than just reading texts and writing essays or assignments. Virtual, interactive platforms and online collaborations through electronic devices create a more effective way in which the contents and learning methods are integrated. Hence, distance students can nowadays choose from many media to support learning. Ideally, the media chosen in a particular learning condition depends on the learning goals of a course and how well a typical media supports the learning goals.

Ease of use and information quality did not affect the quality of E-learning so significantly. Though E-learning has expanded rapidly and has the potential to extend the educational opportunities further, the current design and implementation at Universitas Terbuka have met substantial challenges in terms of insufficiency of facilities and poor participation among particularly least-prepared students. Ease of use and information quality were not heavily highlighted in the study, consequently.

2.1.3.2. The Effect of Ease of Use, Information Quality, Material Quality, Media Quality and the Quality of E-Learning on Learning Outcomes

In model 2, we treated the quality of e-learning no longer as an outcome variable but a predictor variable or independent variable that was predicted to affect the learning outcomes. Similar to model 1, the probability value in model 2 was $0.000 < 0.05$, indicating significant simultaneous effect of ease of use, information quality, material quality, media quality, including the quality of e-learning on learning outcomes.

The partial effect of e-learning quality was also found to be statistically significant in learning outcomes at 5%. Our finding is consistent with Lili Darliah's [11]. We believe e-learning delves into various aspects of the relationship between students' approaches to learning and their learning outcomes. This means that the focus of e-learning methods is on how to strengthen the capacities of students to act progressively through the appropriate acquisition of relevant knowledge and useful skills to increase the likelihood of better learning outcomes.

On the other hand, ease of use, information quality, material quality and media quality were found not significant with respect to the improvement of learning outcomes at Universitas Terbuka. There were a number of students, particularly least-prepared students, who had yet to fully utilize learning in online setting and consistently underperformed, ultimately impeding their success through college and enhancing the likelihood of dropping out.

3. CONCLUSION

We have framed the subject of interest theoretically and methodologically, and come to a number of conclusions:

1. Dealing with the variation of ease of use, information quality, material quality and media quality at the same time based on empirical evidence of F test is helpful for understanding better quality of e-learning and learning outcomes.
2. Partial T test in model 1 resulted in positive and significant effect of media quality and material quality on the quality of e-learning, while ease of use and information quality did not fit neatly into this statistical pattern.
3. Partial T test in model 2 highlights the positive and significant effect of the quality of e-learning on learning outcomes, providing evidence that students who took on e-learning more effectively gained better learning outcomes.

3.1. Implication

In this chapter, we provide potential implications of our findings for policy and practice with respect to distance learning implementation.

1. Our finding calls for decision-making and implementation process of the improvement in distance learning using e-learning, including the level of involvement of diverse stakeholders of Universitas Terbuka at different stages of the process, the challenges they encounter and the final outcomes of these decisions.
2. Our finding also contributes as credible and relevant evidence sources to the wide strand of literature in Open and Distance Education landscape, most notably in the use of information technology in learning setting.

3.2. Suggestion

Though we failed to support all of the hypothesized relationships in the present study, we suggest tackling implementation challenges and planning corrective and precautionary measures against non conformance concerning the development of information technology at Universitas Terbuka.

We also suggest future studies to set out further exploration of other relevant variables as they link to the technical factors that sustain the implementation of distance e-learning. It is also essential to draw primarily on larger samples of UPBJJ-UT for more representative and comprehensive findings along with instrument development that taps into more complex and dynamic conditions and environments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Handayani, Rini (2007). Analisis Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Minat Pemanfaatan Sistem Informasi Dan Penggunaan Sistem Informasi. (Studi Empiris Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur di Bursa Efek Jakarta). Simposium Nasional Akuntansi X Makasar.
- [2] Slameto (2010). Belajar dan Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhinya. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- [3] Jogiyanto (2007). Model Kesuksesan Sistem Teknologi Informasi. Yogyakarta: ANDI.
- [4] Hamdani (2011). Strategi Belajar Mengajar. Bandung: Pustaka Setia.

- [5] Deni Darmawan (2012). Pendidikan teknologi informasi dan komunikasi: teori dan aplikasi. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- [6] Yami Zulian (2002). Manajemen Kualitas Produk dan Jasa. Yogyakarta: Ekonisia Kampus Fakultas Ekonomi Ull.
- [7] Zaenal Arifin (2012). Evaluasi pembelajaran. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.
- [8] Hujair AH Sanaky (2013). Media Pembelajaran Interaktif-Inovatif. Yogyakarta: KAUKABA DIPANTARA.
- [9] Azhar Arsyad (2011). Media Pembelajaran. Jakarta: PT.Rajawali Persada.
- [10] Sugiyono (2012). Statistika Untuk Penelitian. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- [11] Lili Darliah (2016). Pengaruh Kualitas Informasi dan Penggunaan E-learning Terhadap Prestasi Belajar Mahasiswa Pendidikan Ekonomi FE UNY Dengan Motivasi Belajar Sebagai Variabel Intervening. Jurnal Pendidikan dan Ekonomi, Vol. 5, Nomor 2.