

# ANALYZING FACE-TO-FACE PRACTICUM AS A LEARNING SUPPORT FOR STUDENTS IN A DISTANCE EDUCATION SYSTEM

Rini Dwiyani Hadiwidjaja, Noorina Hartati, Ali Muktiyanto, Irma

*Universitas Terbuka (INDONESIA)*

## Abstract

Customer satisfaction is a main requirement for the success of an organization, including in higher education. Satisfaction of students and teachers or tutors become a benchmark for universities to be able to improve their performance in order to deliver competitive graduates, including for universities that implements distance education system. Universitas Terbuka (UT) is a higher education institution that implements distance education system, which provides a variety of learning support for students to facilitate students learn independently. A kind of learning support provided for students of accounting study program is face-to-face practicum (FFP) to improve their skills in the areas of accounting. This study aims to analyze the satisfaction and importance of implementation face-to-face practicum (FFP). The results showed that handles every complaint quickly and appropriate is the most important factors that need to be improved in face-to-face practicum implementation in order to achieve satisfaction of students and tutors.

Keywords: customer satisfaction, distance education, face-to-face practicum.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

In the era of competition such as this, customer satisfaction is a strategic issue for companies, both manufacturing and service companies. Customer satisfaction can affect consumer confidence and create consumer loyalty (Omar et al., 2009). Customer satisfaction will be formed from consumer perceptions of quality of service (Saha and Theingi, 2009). Experts and practitioners in the field of marketing is often explores the cognitive and affective response of consumers to the attributes of the service in order to provide the needs of consumers in a way that effective and efficient (Ravichandran *et al.*, 2010). Satisfying consumers for a product or service is not easy, especially the quality of services. Quality of service is more difficult to evaluate than the quality of the goods. Perceived satisfaction depends on their perceptions of the expectations and the quality of services provided by the company. Quality of service is a form of consumers' assessment of the level of perceived service with the level of expected service. If the customer expectations is greater than the level of service received, the customer is not satisfied. Vice versa, if the expectations are equal to or less than the level of services received, then the consumer will be satisfied.

The quality of service is also very important for educational institutions, including higher education. Bahroom (2009) stated "*service quality is important in Higher Education Institutions, and this is more so in an open and distance learning (ODL) environment*".

Quality of service is a fundamental and important aspect for the sustainability of an institution of higher education, especially in higher education distance (ODL). This is due to the drop-out rate at ODL student higher than conventional students.

Universitas Terbuka (UT) is an institution that implement ODL in the learning process and have the students spread to all over of Indonesia. To maintain and improve the quality of services, UT has quality assurance, both in academic and management. One study program offered by UT is accounting that has two practicum courses, i.e. Accounting Introduction Laboratory and Auditing Laboratory. Both of these courses have Face to Face Practicum (FFP) as a learning support for students. FFP is designed in the form of study case, problem solving, and group discussion. FFP held for 8 (eight) meetings/class attending within one semester at each of UT's Regional Office. The increasing of FFP services, will result in good administration, academic and infrastructure. On the other hand also found a variety of complaints on the service. Based on the monitoring results of FFP managed by UT, there is still lack of tutors/instructors and more student's complaints against the service of FFP. Based on the background described, this study is obtain to analyze the viewpoint/opinion of the students and tutors/instructor regarding of FFP services quality at Regional Offices, in order to achieve, increase, and explore aspects to be improved or corrected of an ideal FFP implementation. In addition, this study is expected to provide benefits for UT and also for researchers in applying the theory, particularly the theory of marketing field into the real world practices.

## **2 LITERATURE REVIEW**

Higher education service quality issue has been widely discussed in the literature. Students are the main customers of a university or higher education institution (Hill, 1995 in Brochado, 2009), direct recipients of the services provided, the perception of service quality of students has turned into the issue is very important for the university and its administrators. If higher education is considered as a service, it must have the classic features of services, so that the measurement of the quality to be very complex (Hill, 1995 in Brochado, 2009). The literature shows the importance of service quality education agency monitors the quality of the services it offers with the goal of continuous improvement in the future. Nevertheless, universities are increasingly finding themselves in a component of an environment conducive to understand the role and importance of quality of service (Shank et al., 1995).

As a result of the difficulty of defining quality, measurement is also a controversial issue. In terms of measurement method, some authors demonstrated the concept of service quality is the ratio between the perceptions of performance expectations (Parasuraman *et al.*, 1988). While others say, the quality of services only from the perception of performance only (Cronin and Taylor, 1992). Because the expectations are irrelevant and lead to confusion in evaluating the perception of service quality. Therefore, it makes whether or not expectations as a determinant of quality has spawned two different paradigms, namely the paradigm of disconfirmation paradigm and the perception paradigm.

## **2.1 Measurement of Service Quality of Higher Education**

Some services marketing literature reveals two main approaches measuring the quality of services, namely SERVQUAL (Parasuraman *et al.*, 1988) and SERVPERF (Cronin and Taylor, 1992). SERVQUAL, as one of the most popular methods, based on a theoretical of the gap models and defines the quality of services as the difference between customer expectations with perceptions of the performance of the 22 items of questions namely physical evidence (tangibles), empathy, responsiveness, reliability, and a guarantee (assurance). In the context of higher education, the fifth dimensions include college physical facilities, equipment, employees, and communication (physical evidence), the ability of colleges provide services promised definitively and accurately (reliability), the willingness of universities to help students and provide appropriate fast- service (responsiveness), knowledge and manners lecturers and ability to convey trust and confidence (guarantees), and the attention and concern of the college to the student (empathy).

Stability expectations and perceptions of service quality during this time in the sphere of higher education through empirical evidence concluded that the perception of the service is less stable compared with expectations (Hill, 1995 in Brochado, 2009). Due to perceived flaws in the SERVQUAL approach, both at the conceptual and operational levels, performance-based approach to measure the quality of services called SERVPERF introduced. SERVPERF is a variant of SERVQUAL scale based on the perception of the components alone. Some researchers successfully implementing application paradigm SERVQUAL in measuring service quality higher education (Hill, 1995 in Brochado 2009; Cuthbert, 1996 in Brochado 2009; Stodnick and Rogers, 2008; Sahney *et al.*, 2008; Bayraktaroglu and Atrek, 2010; Lupo, 2013). SERVQUAL stated to have better alignment than SERVPERF to measure the dimensions of quality in higher education (Bayraktaroglu and Atrek, 2010).

As the scale SERVQUAL and SERVPERF that does not take into account the relative importance of students in the five dimensions of interest-weighted (importance-weighted score). Therefore, several studies discussing the relative importance of the five dimensions of the customer, the weighted score measuring the perceived quality of services each dimension and total service quality in accordance with the model gap (Cronin and Taylor, 1992; Parasuraman et.al, 1991). Questionnaires experience is a tool that is very popular in the sphere of higher education to evaluate students' perceptions about the performance of teaching and learning process (Ginns et al., 2007).

## **2.2 Customer Satisfaction**

The concept of customer satisfaction can be interpreted in various fields, both services and manufacturing. Johnson and Clark (2008) defines the concept of services as the common understanding of the basic services provided and received. The concept of services should also provide information about the core of the service, the experience of the service, and the service results. The services provided will affect customer satisfaction. Satisfaction and customer perception of the quality of the labels we use to summarize a range of observed action associated with a product or service (Hayes, 2008). A more complete understanding of satisfaction expressed by Kotler and Keller (2006) which states that satisfaction is feeling happy or disappointed someone who is formed from comparing the performance or the outcome of a product based on the perceptions of those expectations. Perception is a belief related customer service received or sustained Customer satisfaction can be determined by the perception of the service received.

According to Oliver (1981) satisfaction is a judgment that the features of the product or service, or product / service itself, provide a level of compliance associated with the consumption of delightful included level under -fulfillment and over-fulfillment. Furthermore, Halstead et al. (1994) expressed satisfaction is an affective responses that are transaction-specific and is produced from the comparison made between the performance of consumer products with several standard purchase.

From the definition above have in common, that is concerning the customer's decision (expectations and perceived performance). Generally, customers' expectations are thoughts or beliefs about what customers received when he buys or consumes a product (goods or services). While the perceived performance is the customers' perception of what is acceptable after consuming the product purchased.

### **2.3 Previous Research**

Development of instruments measuring the quality of services of the institution of Open Distance Learning (ODL) has done Bahroom et al. (2009) at the Open University Malaysia (OUM). Instruments called ODLPERF generated from item 29 survey questions Importance-Performance OUM involving 2,491 students in 2008. This study resulted in four factors of service quality, the real evidence, reliability, assurance, and empathy. These four dimensions are formed of 14 items. Abdullah (2006) describes the methodology of development of Higher Education Performance (HEdPERF), as an instrument measuring the quality of services of the higher education sector. Forty-one (41) items tested empirically through a unidimensional, validity and reliability of the exploratory and confirmatory analysis. A valid measurement scale reliably used as a means of tertiary institutions in enhancing the performance of services amid the global education market competition. The results of this study yielded six dimensions of quality of different services and conceptually clear, namely the aspect of non-academic, academic aspects, reputation, access, issues program, and understanding. Rashid and Harun (2004), tested the students' perception of service quality institutions ODL in Malaysia. Focus group sessions and structured questionnaire was used to collect relevant information from respondents. The study shows the quality characteristics of ODL services differ from traditional higher education institutions. As a new perspective of service quality, this instrument was tested at ODL institutions in Malaysia. Lagrosen et al., (2004) tested the key dimensions of higher education in Austria, Sweden, and the United Kingdom. They found 11 key dimensions of quality, of the collaboration of corporate, information and responsiveness, programs offered, campus facilities, teaching practices, internal evaluation, external evaluation, computer facilities, collaboration and comparison, factors post-study, and other sources of literature.

From a review of some earlier research had shown there are many approaches to measure the quality of services in the field of education. Dimensions of service quality also varies depending on the focus of researchers. However, given the universality of the model instrument of service quality ODL proposed Rashid and Harun (2004) and Bahroom et al. (2009), it will be used to define and redefine the key dimensions of service quality in this study.

### **3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

This study was designed to analyze the differences in perceptions and expectations of students and tutors for the implementation of FFP at Regional Offices. Research conducted in several Regional Offices. Based on basic theories, this study used some dimensions of satisfaction and importance, measured by:

- 1) Operational Services of FFP
- 2) Non-operational of FFP
- 3) Infrastructure of FFP

Data used in this study are primary data obtained from students and tutors/instructors of FFP which were selected randomly to represent the condition of FFP implementation from some Regional Offices. Data obtained by distributing a questionnaire to students and tutors/instructors. The study used a sample of 326 students and 31 tutors/instructors from Accounting Study Program of Economics Faculty at Regional Offices UT. Respondents were asked to state their level of perception of FFP services and their expectations for the implementation of FFP using a five-point Likert Scale ranging from “strongly agree” to “strongly disagree”.

The method used in this study is explanatory survey method. The analytical method used is statistical analysis and measurement model description testing. Meanwhile, Validity and Reliability of all instruments were measured by T-value test, Standard Loading Factors (SLF), Contract Reliability, and Variance Extract.

### **4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

#### **4.1 Results**

The objectives of this study were to analyze the viewpoint of the students and tutor towards the services quality of FFP implementation at Regional Offices UT and to find out the dominant influential factor to the satisfaction of the students and tutors. This study also find some aspects that need to be improved in the implementation of FFP. The respondents of this study are students and tutors, there are 326 students and 31 tutors/instructors. The majority gender of tutor is female (71%) and 29% is male. Most of the tutors in this survey in the age group between 31-40 years old (65%), with most duration as a tutor between 1 – 5 years (74%).

The results of validity test demonstrate that the entire instrument is valid with the T-value  $\geq 1.96$  and Standardized Loading Factors  $\geq 0.5$  (Igarria et al., 1998). The results of reliability test demonstrate that the entire instrument reliably with contract Reliability  $\geq 0.70$  and Variance Extracted  $\geq 0.5$  (Hair et al., 2011)

## **4.2 Discussions**

### **4.2.1 Operational Services of FFP**

The operational services of FFP viewpoint of student measured by smooth communication (L1), practicum schedule information on time (L2), delivering information of practicum to students clearly (L3), quick and accurate of services (L4), easy to contact the UT staff (L5), easy to contact the practicum tutors/instructors (L6), quick feedback of complaint (L7), and the hospitality of UT staff in serving (L8). Furthermore, the operational services of FFP viewpoint of tutor measured by information from Regional Offices given openly (E2), UT staff is able to fulfill the needs of tutors (E4), UT staff delivered quick and accurate of services (E5), easy to contact of UT Staff (E6), UT staff get the feedback and handle complaints quickly (E7), and also delivering information about rights and obligations of tutor clearly (E8).

The results of this research shows that from viewpoint of students there are 56.44% of the students stated the communication between students and the person in charge in FFP at Regional Office smoothly and 82.52% of the students stated smooth communication is important. 65.03% of the students stated provision of practicum schedule information on time and 87.12% of the students stated they were important. 59.82% UT staff in delivering information of practicum to students clearly and 84.97% of the students stated they were important. 57.98% of students feel the service of UT staff given quickly and accurately and 82.52% of the students stated that quick and accurate of services is important. 55.52% of students feel easy to contact the UT staff and 79.75% said it was important. 63.50% of students feel easy to contact the practicum instructors and 82.21% stated that it was important. 42.02% of the students stated that quick feedback of complaint and 77.91% stated that quick feedback of complaint is essential. 73.62% of students feel the hospitality of UT staff in serving and 85.89% said this was important. Overall through testing of the measurement model, that quick and accurate services of UT staff and quick respond of handling complaints is two determinants of service quality UT.

The next results is the quality service of FFM as a learning support viewpoint of tutors are 77.42% tutors stated information from Regional Offices given openly to all tutors and

96.77% tutors stated this factors are important. 80.65% tutors stated UT staff is able to fulfill the needs of tutors and 93.55% tutors said it was important. 87.10% tutors stated the services of UT staff delivered quickly and accurately and 90.32 stated this is important. 80.65% tutors stated that easily to contact UT Staff and 96.77% said it's important. 70.97% tutors stated UT staff can quick feedback and handle complaints and 93.55% said it's important. 77.42% tutors receive information about their rights and obligations clearly and 93.55% said it is important. Overall through testing of measurement model that the clarity of information about rights and obligations of tutors and the easily of contact theUT staff are two determinants of service quality UT.

#### 4.2.2 Non-operational of FFP

The non-operational services of FFP viewpoint of student measured by materials of practicum controlled by tutor (LP1), tutors assist students to understanding the material of practicum (LP2), tutors provide feedback on assignments (LP3), case of assignments given by tutor is up to-date (LP4), and completeness of equipment's practicum (LP5). Whereas the non-operational services of FFP viewpoint of tutor measured by the competent of UT staff is supporting of FFP implementation (A3), availability of Tutorial Activity Design (RAT), Tutorial Activity Unit (SAT) and notes of tutorial (A4), availability of the procedures of FFP implementation (A5), UT staff handles every complaint by appropriately and quickly (A6), UT always provide solutions in any problems of tutors (A7).

From the viewpoints of the students, there are 73.31% of the students stated the materials of practicum controlled by tutor and 85.58 stated this important factor. 74.23% of the students stated that tutors assist students to understanding the material of practicum and 88.04% said it's important. 72.70% of the students stated tutors provide feedback on assignments and 86.20% said it's important. 64.72% of students stated that the case given a tutor is up to-date and 85.52% said it's important. 62.27% of students stated that all equipment's practicum is complete and 82.21% said it's important. Overall through testing of measurement model, the role of tutors in assisting the students to understanding the material of practicum and provide feedback on assignments are two determinants of service quality UT.

The quality service of FFM as a learning support viewpoint of tutors are 83.9% tutors stated the competent of UT staff is supporting of FFP implementation and 90.4% stated that these factors are important. 80.6% tutors stated Tutorial Activity Design (RAT), Tutorial Activity Unit (SAT) and notes of tutorial are available and 96.7% stated that it is important. 77.4% tutor stated that the procedures of FFP implementation are greatly assist tutors that



provided by Regional Offices and 93.6% stated that it is important. 67.8% tutors stated UT staff handle every complaint by appropriately and quickly and 93.6% stated that it is important. 77.4% tutors stated UT has a commitment in the FFP implementation and 93.6% stated that it is important. 77.5% stated UT always provide solutions in any problems of tutors and 87.1% stated that it is important. Overall through testing of measurement model is known that provide solutions in any problems of tutors in the FFM implementation and commitment of UT in the FFP implementation are two determinants of service quality UT.

#### *4.2.3 Infrastructure of FFP*

The infrastructure of FFP dimension in viewpoint of students measured by the conducive of FFP environment (SP1), availability of the facilities of FFP such as blackboards, desks, chairs etc. (SP2), and sufficiency of the infrastructure such as classrooms, mosque, toilets, and canteen (SP3), the sanitation (SP4), the security (SP5) and easy to access of FFM locations (SP6). Furthermore, the infrastructure of FFP viewpoint of tutor measured by infrastructure such as classrooms, prayer room and toilet provided with adequate and (T3), the infrastructure such as classrooms, prayer and toilet are comfortable (T4), availability of major facilities such as materials such as text and workbook cases, Tutorial Activity Design, Tutorial Activity Unit and notes of tutorial (T5) and access or transportation to FFM easily (T8).

This research also shows that 68.10% of students find the environment of FFP is conducive and 83.13% stated that this factor is important. 75.46% of students stated that the facilities of FFP such as blackboards, desks, chairs, the case, and the paper work of FFP is available and 86.81% tutors said it's important. 62.27% of students find the infrastructure such as classrooms, mosque, toilets, and canteen are sufficient and 80.67% tutors stated this is important. 67.79% tutor finds the sanitation in FFM locations and 84.36% stated that this factor is important. 76.69% tutors finds the security in FFP locations and 86.81 stated this is important. 76.38% tutors' stated the location of FFP is easy to access and 88.34% tutors said it's important. Overall through testing of measurement model that sanitation in FFP location and the conducive of FFP environment are two determinants of UT service quality

The viewpoint of tutors are 71% tutors stated infrastructure such as classrooms, prayer room and toilet provided with adequate and 71% stated that it is important. 70.9% feel the infrastructure such as classrooms, prayer and toilet are comfortable and 70.9% stated that it is important. 74.2% tutor feel availability of major facilities such as materials (text and workbook cases), Tutorial Activity Design, Tutorial Activity Unit and notes of tutorial and

74.2% stated that it is important. 83.9% tutors stated access or transportation to FFM easily and 83.9% stated this is important.

## **5 CONCLUSIONS**

Universitas Terbuka (UT) is a higher education institution that implements distance education system, which provides a variety of learning support for students to facilitate students learn independently. A kind of learning support provided for students of accounting study program is face-to-face practicum (FFP) to improve their skills in the areas of accounting. Satisfaction of students and teachers or tutors become a benchmark for universities to be able to improve their performance. The results showed service quality from students' perspective is quick and accurate services of UT staff and fast respond in handling complaints from students. Moreover, the role of tutors in assisting the students to understanding the material of practicum and provide feedback on assignments are determined the service quality of FFM. The tutors' perspective is the clarity of information about rights and obligations of tutors, the easily of contact the UT staff, provide solutions in any problems of tutors, and commitment of UT in FFM implementation. Form infrastructure dimension, sanitation in FFP location and the conducive of FFP environment are two determinants of UT service quality. UT staff handles every complaint quickly and appropriate is the most important factors that need to be improved in face-to-face practicum implementation in order to achieve satisfaction of students and tutors.

## **REFERENCES**

- Abdullah, F. (2006), The development of HEDPERF: a new measuring instrument of service quality for the higher education sector, *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, **30**, pp569 – 581.
- Bahroom, R., Latif, L.A. dan San, Ng.M. (2009), ODLPERF: An instrument for measuring service quality in an Open and Distance Learning (ODL) institution, *23<sup>rd</sup> AAOU Annual Conference*, Teheran, 3 – 5 November 2009.
- Brochado, A. (2009), Comparing alternative instruments to measure service quality in higher education, *Quality Assurance in Education*, Vol. 17 No. 2, pp. 174 – 190.
- Cronin, J.J. dan Taylor, S.A. (1992), Measuring service quality: re-examination and extension, *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 56 No. 3, pp. 56 – 68.
- Cronin, J.J. dan Taylor, S.A. (1994), SERVPERF versus SERVQUAL: reconciling performance-based and perceptions-minus-expectations measurement of service quality, *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 58 No. 1, pp. 125 – 31.

- Ginns, P. Prosser, M. dan Barrie, E. (2007), Students' perceptions of teaching quality in higher education: the perspective of currently enrolled students, *Studies in Higher Education*, Vol. 32 No. 5, pp. 603 – 15.
- Halstead, D., Hartman, D., & Schmidt, S. L. (1994). Multisource Effects on the Satisfaction Formation Process. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 22(2), 114-129.
- Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V.A. dan Berry, L.L. (1988), SERVQUAL: A multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of services quality, *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 64 No. 1, pp. 12 – 40.
- Rashid, Md.Z.A. danLatifah Abdul Latif. (2004), Perceived Service Quality and Satisfaction in Distance Education – in 9<sup>th</sup> International Research Symposium On Service Quality (QUIS9).
- Rashid, Md.Z.A. dan Harun, H. (2004), Service quality in the Open and Distance Learning – The perspective of learners in Malaysia, *Open University Malaysia Research Report*, pp. 1 – 29.
- Zeithaml, V.A. (1988), Consumer perceptions of price, quality and value: a means-end model and synthesis of evidence, *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 52 No. 3, pp. 2 – 22.
- Zeithaml, V.A., Bitner, M. J. danGremler, D. (2006), *Services Marketing: Integrating Customer Focus across the Firm*, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.
- Omar, N.A. et al., (2009). Parents Perceived Service Quality, Satisfaction and Trust of a Childcare Centre: Implication on Loyalty. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 5(5), 299-314
- Saha, G.C. and Theingi. (2009). “Service quality, satisfaction, and behavioural intentions. A study of low-cost airline carriers in Thailand.”*Managing Service Quality.*, 19, 3, pp.350-372.
- Ravichandran, K., Mani, B.T., Kumar, S.A., and Prabhakaran, S. (2010), “Influence of Service Quality on Customer Satisfaction Application of Servqual Model”, *International Journal of Business and Management* 5, 117-124, available at: <http://www.ccsenet.org/journal/index.php/ijbm/article/viewFile/5158/4616>
- Hair, Joe F, Ringle, Christian M, &Sarstedt Marko. (2011). PLS SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. *The Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 19(2), 139 -152.



**Symbiosis Centre for Distance Learning, India**

**ICDE International Conference**

**10-11 March 2017**

## CONFERENCE PROGRAMME

### Day 1: 10<sup>th</sup> March 2017

Time	Event	Venue
8.30 am-10.00 am	Registration	Ground Floor
10.00 am-11.00 am	Inaugural Function	A.V. Hall - 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
11.30 am-1.00 pm	Panel Discussion on Policy Framework in ODL and Best Practices in Asia	A.V. Hall - 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
1.00 pm-2.00 pm	Lunch Break	Ground Floor
2.00 pm-2.45 pm	Keynote speeches on Technology- Harnessing power of ICT in ODL	A.V. Hall - 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
2.45 pm-4.00pm	Plenary Session – TECHNOLOGY (2 Parallel Sessions)	Classroom 1- 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor Classroom 2- 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor
4.00 pm-4.15 pm	Presentation by Sponsors	A.V. Hall - 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
4.15 pm-4.30 pm	Tea Break	3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
4.30 pm-6.00 pm	Workshop on MOOCs	A.V. Hall - 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
7.00 pm-7.30 pm	Cultural Programme	
7.30 pm onwards	Banquet Dinner	

### Day 2: 11<sup>th</sup> March 2017

Time	Event	Venue
10.00 am-11.00 am	Keynote speeches on Quality in ODL	A.V. Hall - 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
11.15 am-11.30 pm	Presentations by Sponsors	A.V. Hall - 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
11.30 pm-1.00 pm	Plenary Session – QUALITY (2 Parallel Sessions)	Classroom 1 - 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor Classroom 2 - 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor
1.00 pm-2.00 pm	Lunch Break	Ground Floor
2.00 pm-3.00 pm	Keynote speeches on Inclusion through ODL	A.V. Hall - 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
3.00 pm-4.00 pm	Plenary Session – INCLUSION (2 Parallel Sessions)	Classroom 1 - 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor Classroom 2 - 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor
4.00 pm-4.15 pm	Tea Break	3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
4.15 pm-5.30 pm	Valedictory Function	A.V. Hall - 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor

**DAYWISE AGENDA**

**DAY 1- Friday, 10<sup>th</sup> March 2017**

<b>Time</b>	<b>Event</b>
8.30 am -10.00 am	Registration – Ground Floor
9.00 am- 9.45 am	Arrival of Dignitaries
<b>INAUGURAL FUNCTION - Venue: A.V. Hall, 3rd Floor – 10 am to 11 am</b>	
10.00 am-10.02 am	Arrival of the Dignitaries on the Dias
10.02 am -10.05 am	Lighting of the Traditional Lamp
10.05 am -10.15 am	Introduction of Conference by <b>Dr. Swati Mujumdar</b> , Director, Symbiosis Centre for Distance Learning (SCDL)
10.15 am -10.25 am	Welcome Address by <b>Padma Bhushan, Dr. S. B. Mujumdar</b> , President & Founder Symbiosis
10.25 am -10.35 am	Felicitation of Guests and Speakers
10.35 am -10.45 am	Address by <b>Prof. Mandla S. Makhanya</b> , Principal and Vice Chancellor, The University of South Africa (UNISA), President-ICDE
10.45 am -10.55 am	Inaugural Address by <b>Tan Sri Emeritus Prof. Gajaraj Dhanarajan</b> , Chairman, Board of Governors, Wawasan Open University
10.55 am -11.00 am	Vote of Thanks- Dr. Shraavan Kadvekar
<b>PANEL DISCUSSION ON POLICY FRAMEWORK IN ODL &amp; BEST PRACTICES IN ASIA - Venue: A.V. Hall, 3rd Floor – 11.30 am to 1.00 pm</b>	
11.30 am-11.40 am	<b>Moderator- Dr. Mariamma Varghese, Coordinator – Prof Vishal</b>
	Introduction of the panel members by the moderator.
	<b>Address by each Panel Member (5 minutes each)</b>
11.40 am – 11.45 am	1. Dr. Ravindra Kumar, VC (In-Charge), IGNOU
11.45 am – 11.50 am	2. Dr. M.M. Salunkhe, Vice Chancellor, SUAS, Indore
11.50 am – 11.55 am	3. Dr. V.S. Prasad, Former Director, NAAC
11.55 am – 12.00 pm	4. Dr. Raj Agrawal , Director, AIMA - CME
12.00 pm – 12.05 pm	5. Dr. Swati Mujumdar, Director, SCDL
12.05 pm – 12.50 pm	<b>Panel Discussion:</b>
	Panellist will discuss on the following themes related to the policy framework in ODL and Best Practices in Asia.
	1. Online Education
	2. Territorial Jurisdiction
	3. New Programme Recognition
4. Assessments in ODL	
5. Governance	
12.50 pm-1.00 pm	Felicitation of panellists & concluding remarks by the moderator

<b>LUNCH BREAK – Venue: SCDL Premise – 1.00 pm to 2.00 pm</b>	
<b>KEYNOTE ADDRESSES – TECHNOLOGY – Venue: A.V. Hall, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor – 2.00 pm to 2.45 pm</b>	
2.00 pm-2.05 pm	Introduction of guests by Session Coordinator: Dr. Padmpriya
	<b>Keynote Speakers-</b>
2.05 pm-2.15 pm	1. Prof Alan Tait, Professor Emeritus of Distance Education and Development, The Open University, UK
2.15 pm-2.25 pm	2. Mr. Gard Titlestad, Secretary General, International Council for Open and Distance Education (ICDE)
2.20 pm-2.35 pm	3. Mr. Vivek Sawant, MD, MKCL
2.35 pm-2.45 pm	Q & A Session
<b>PLENARY SESSIONS</b>	
2.45 pm-4.00 pm	<b>Plenary Session - TECHNOLOGY</b>
	<b>Chair: Dr. Pervez Masood (Parallel Session-I- Classroom No. 1)</b>
	<b>Dr. Padmpriya (Parallel Session-II- Classroom No. 2)</b>
	Faculty Coordinator- Prof. Uttara Deolankar, Prof. Prashant
<b>PRESENTATIONS BY SPONSORS – Venue: A.V. Hall – 4.00 pm to 4.15 pm</b>	
<b>TEA BREAK – Venue: SCDL Premise – 4.15 pm to 4.30 pm</b>	
<b>MOOC WORKSHOP – Venue: A.V. Hall – 4.30 pm to 6 pm</b>	
4.30 pm-6.00 pm	<b>Workshop on MOOC by Dr. S. K. Prasad, Joint Director, NIOS</b>
	Faculty Coordinator: Prof. Sonali Karale
<b>CULTURAL PROGRAMME – 7.00 pm to 7.30 pm</b>	
<b>BANQUET DINNER – 7.30 pm onward</b>	

**DAY 2 - Saturday, 11<sup>th</sup> March 2017**

<b>KEYNOTE ADDRESSES – QUALITY IN ODL – Venue: A.V. Hall, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor – 10.00 am to 11.00 am</b>	
10.00 am – 10.10 am	Introduction of Guests by Session Coordinator: Dr. Shravan Kadvekar
	<b>Keynote Speakers-</b>
10.10 am – 10.25 am	1. Dr. Gajaraj Dhanarajan, Chairman, Board of Governors, Wawasan Open University
10.25 am – 10.40 am	2. Dr Ravindra Kumar, VC (In-Charge), IGNOU
10.40 am – 10.55 am	3. Dr. VS Prasad, Former Director, NAAC
10.55 am – 11.00 am	Q & A Session
<b>PLENARY SESSIONS</b>	
11.15 am -12.45 pm	<b>Plenary Session - QUALITY</b>
	<b>Chair: Dr. Shakuntala Katre (Parallel Session-I- Classroom No. 1)</b> <b>Dr. Madhulika Kaushik (Parallel Session-II-- Classroom No. 2)</b>
	Faculty Coordinator- Dr. Pallavi Soman and Prof. Sudeep Limaye
<b>PRESENTATIONS BY SPONSORS – Venue: A.V. Hall – 12.45 pm to 1.00 pm</b>	
<b>LUNCH BREAK – Venue: SCDL Premise – 1.00 pm to 2.00 pm</b>	
<b>KEYNOTE ADDRESSES – INCLUSION – Venue: A.V. Hall, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor – 2.00 pm to 3.00 pm</b>	
2.00 pm-2.05 pm	Introduction of Keynote speakers by Session Coordinator: Prof. Vijay Masarkar
	<b>Keynote Speakers-</b>
2.05 pm-2.20 pm	1. Prof. Belinda Tynan, Deputy Vice Chancellor (Education) and Vice President, RMIT University, Australia
2.20 pm-2.35 pm	2. Dr. Wesley Teter, Senior Consultant, Section for Educational Innovation and Skills Development (EISD) UNESCO , Bangkok
2.35 pm-2.50 pm	3. Mr. Gard Titlestad, Secretary General, International Council for Open and Distance Education (ICDE)
2.50 pm-3.00 pm	Q & A Session
3.00 pm- 4.00 pm	<b>Plenary Session - INCLUSION</b>
	<b>Chair: Dr. Mariamma Varghese (Parallel Session-I Classroom No.1)</b> <b>Dr. R Karpaga Kumaravel (Parallel Session-II- Classroom No. 2)</b>
	Faculty Coordinator- Prof. Vijay Masarkar, Dr. Narendra Parchure



<b>TEA BREAK – Venue: SCDL Premise – 4.00 pm to 4.15 pm</b>	
<b>VALEDICTORY FUNCTION – Venue: A.V. Hall – 4.15 pm to 5.30 pm</b>	
4.15 pm	Arrival of Dignitaries on the Dias
4.15 pm - 4:20 pm	Lighting of the Traditional Lamp
4.20 pm- 4 :40 pm	A brief Report of the two days Conference proceedings Summing up of Conference by <b>Dr. Swati Mujumdar</b> , Director, SCDL
4.40 pm - 4.50 pm	Felicitation of Guests
4.50 pm - 5 .05 pm	Address by <b>Prof. Belinda Tynan</b> , Deputy Vice Chancellor (Education) and Vice President, RMIT University, Australia
5.05 pm - 5.15 pm	Address by Mr. <b>Gard Titlestad</b> , Secretary General, International Council for Open and Distance Education (ICDE)
5.15 pm - 5.25 pm	Address by <b>Prof. Mandla S. Makhanya</b> , Principal and Vice Chancellor, The University of South Africa (UNISA) President, ICDE
5.25 pm - 5.27 pm	National Anthem
5..27 pm - 5.30 pm	Vote of Thanks by Dr. PadmPriya Irabatti
5.30 pm	Conference Concludes

## PLENARY SESSION – TECHNOLOGY

Date: 10<sup>th</sup> March, 2017

Time: 2.45 pm-4.00 pm

Venue- Classroom-1, 4th Floor (Parallel Session-I)

Chair: Dr. Pervez Masood

Coordinator: Prof. Uttara Deolankar

Sr. No.	Time	Name of Delegate	Title of Research Paper
1	2.45 pm-2.50 pm	Shivali Chawla	Reaching The Unreached Through Mobile Learning
2	2.50 pm-2.55 pm	Anita Ramachandran	Collaborative Learning Among Heterogeneous Groups In Virtual Mode In Higher Degree Program
3	2.55 pm-3.00 pm	Manojkumar Nagasampige, Gayathri Devi Subbaiah and Kavita Nagasampige	MOOCs in Indian University Education System: A study on awareness and motivation among students and teachers of Indian Universities
4	3.00 pm-3.05 pm	Dr. R.Karpaga Kumaravel & Dr. B.Padma	Technology Enabled Student Support System In The Teacher Education Programmes –An Evaluation
5	3.05 pm-3.10 pm	Dr. S.K. Prasad	Technology Driven Open Schooling – A Case Study Of NIOS, India
6	3.10 pm-3.15 pm	Prof. Anjan K. Ghosh & Anasmita Ghosh	Mobile Phone Based Examinations In Open And Distance Learning
7	3.15 pm-3.20 pm	Dr. Lilibeth F. Taa	Empowering Teachers and Principals from a Remote Rural Province through AMA Education System's (AMAES) e-Learning Solution
8	3.20 pm-3.25 pm	Vinaya Hasamnis	Use of Information and Communication Technology in rural education without Internet
9	3.25 pm-3.30 pm	Manisha Divate	Continuous Engagement and Evaluation of a learner in ODL
	<b>3.30 pm-4.00 pm</b>	<b>Dr. Pervez Masood</b>	<b>Concluding Remarks</b>

**PLENARY SESSION – TECHNOLOGY**Date: 10<sup>th</sup> March, 2017

Time: 2.45 pm-4.00 pm

Venue- Classroom-2, 4th Floor (Parallel Session-II)

Chair: Dr. Padmapriya

Coordinator: Prof. Prashant

Sr. No.	Time	Name of Delegate	Title of Research Paper
1	2.45 pm-2.50 pm	Dr Willa Louw	Becoming an ODeL professional
2	2.50 pm-2.55 pm	Prof. (Dr.) Manoranjan Pon. Ram & Anunay Sinha	An Implementation Framework For Blended Learning In Engineering Education
3	2.55 pm-3.00 pm	Yasir Riady & Yanis Rusli	Optimization Social Media Facebook Communities For Reaching The Unreached
4	3.00 pm-3.05 pm	Dr. Saumya Rajan	Design Challenges For Developing Customised Massive Open Online Courses With Special Reference To India
5	3.05 pm-3.10 pm	Dr. Minimol Anil Job	M-Learning In Education: Practical Assessment of A New Education Tool In Open University Education
6	3.10 pm-3.15 pm	Dr. Trisha Dowerah Baruah	Tackling The Issue Of Dropouts In The Field Of ODL : The Role Of Technology In Improving Student Retention With Special Reference To Virtual Learning Environment
7	3.15 pm-3.20 pm	Yousif Khorsheed Saeed	Reaching the unreachable through E-resources
8	3.20 pm-3.25 pm	Dr. Manish Chugh	Instrumental role of MOOCs at NIOS
9	3.25 pm-3.30 pm	Ambuja Salgaonkar	Analysis of inclination of learners in ODL towards blended learning: A case study at IDOL, University of Mumbai
10	3.30 pm-3.35 pm	Omkar Barve	learning Indian Classical Music through ODL
	<b>3.35 pm-4.00 pm</b>	<b>Dr. Padmapriya</b>	<b>Concluding Remarks</b>

**PLENARY SESSION – QUALITY**Date: 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2017

Time: 11.30 am -1.00 pm

Venue- Classroom-1, 4th Floor (Parallel Session-I)

Chair: Dr. Shakuntala Katre

Coordinator: Prof. Pallavi Soman

Sr. No.	Time	Name of Delegate	Title of Research Paper
1	11.30 am -11.40 am	Dr. Mamta Srivastava	ODL as a Tool For Women Empowerment: Reflections From National Institute Of Open Schooling, India.
2	11.40 am -11.50 am	Ms. Maeveryn Kabolo Pitano	ODL Learner Support Issues And Challenges – Students And Tutors Perspective (Solomon Islands National University)
3	11.50 am -12.00	Dr. Rajendra Kumar Nayak	Quality Enhancement in Assessment Practices in Distance Learning: Context, Concerns and Challenges
4	12.00 -12.10 pm	Dr. Sukanta Kumar Mahapatra	Collaboration And Networking In Open Schooling Towards Reaching To The Unreached.
5	12.10 pm -12.20 pm	Elaf Fkran Ismeal Sufar	Distance Learning As a paradigm shift from campus learning to blended and online.
6	12.20 pm -12.30 pm	Dr. J Harris, Dr. C Wihak	The Recognition Of Non-Formal Education: Scoping The Extent And Nature Of Practices And Their Quality Assurance
7	12.30 pm -12.40 pm	Aryashree Debapriya & Sonal Mobar Roy	Improving the Quality of Life of Rural Youth through Distance Education
8	12.40 pm -12.50 pm	Vivek Singh	Open Basic Education (OBE) Programme: A Case Study of Different Learning Practices Adopted by Accredited Agencies of NIOS
	12.50 pm -1.00 pm	<b>Dr. Shakuntala Katre</b>	<b>Concluding Remarks</b>

## PLENARY SESSION – QUALITY

Date: 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2017

Time: 11.30 am -1.00 pm

Venue- Classroom-2, 4th Floor (Parallel Session-II)

Chair: Dr. Madhulika Kaushik

Coordinator: Prof. Sudeep Limaye

Sr. No.	Time	Name of Delegate	Title of Research Paper
1	11.30 am -11.40 am	Rini Dwiyani Hadiwidjaja, Noorina Hartati, Ali Muktiyanto, Irma	Analyzing Face-To-Face Practicum As A Learning Support For Students In A Distance Education System
2	11.40 am -11.50 am	Dr. Manoj Kumar Thakur	Emerging Areas Of Research In Open And Distance Learning: A NIOS Perspective
3	11.50 am -12.00	Mussarat Jahan	Comparative Study Of Teaching Competency Of Elementary School Teachers In Gaya District Of Bihar
4	12.00 -12.10 pm	Zulfahmi, Meirani Harsasi , Moh. Muzammil Universitas Terbuka, INDONESIA	Evaluation Of Face-To-Face Tutorials In A Blended Learning Environment: A Study Based On Students' Point Of View
5	12.10 pm -12.20 pm	Dr. Anuradha Bhagwat	Analytical Framework For Monitoring Teaching – Learning Process
6	12.20 pm -12.30 pm	Dr. Kavita Salunke	Reflection On Teacher Education Through D.E. For Quality purpose
7	12.30 pm -12.40 pm	PB Venkataraman, Kurra Suresh, Raghuraman	Implementation of Experiential Learning in Virtual Mode in an Undergraduate Program of Engineering Technology
8	12.40 pm -12.50 pm	Mr. N.C. Kamat	Growth Of Management Education Through Distance Learning In India Paradigm Shift From Campus Learning To Blended And Online Learning
	12.50 pm -1.00 pm	<b>Dr. Madhulika Kaushik</b>	<b>Concluding Remarks</b>

## PLENARY SESSION – INCLUSION

Date: 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2017

Time: 3.00 pm - 4.00 pm

Venue- Classroom-1, 4th Floor (Parallel Session-I)

Chair: Dr. Mariamma  
Varghese

Coordinator: Prof. Vijay Masarkar

Sr. No.	Time	Name of Delegate	Title of Research Paper
1	3.00 pm-3.05 pm	Dolly Sinha, Sabitri Sharma	Ensuring Inclusive Quality Education Through On-Line Distance Learning : Prospects And Challenges
2	3.05 pm-3.10 pm	Dr. T.N Giri	Education For Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs) Through Open & Distance Learning (ODL)
3	3.10 pm-3.15 pm	Mr. Ranjith Kingston Gladstone	Affective Learning -Digital Badges For Online Division Learning Sustainability (Open & Distance Learning): Reaching The Unreached
4	3.15 pm-3.20 pm	Dr. Anjali Shokeen	Women Empowerment Through Open And Distance Learning In India
5	3.20 pm-3.25 pm	Dr. Sandhya Kumar	Equivalency Programme In India- Implementation Through NIOS
6	3.25 pm-3.30 pm	Dr Rucha Gujar	Reaching to Unreached: Distance Education as an Instrument
7	3.30 pm-3.35 pm	Dr. Rajesh Kumar	Acceptability of Vocational Education Programmes
8	3.35 pm-3.40 pm	Prashant Londhe	Facilitating visually impaired learners of Mathematics in ODL
9	3.40 pm-3.45 pm	Belén Rodríguez	E-Storytelling for the Foreign Language Learning.
	<b>3.45 pm-4.00 pm</b>	<b>Dr. Mariamma Varghese</b>	<b>Concluding Remarks</b>

## PLENARY SESSION – INCLUSION

Date: 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2017

Time: 3.00 pm - 4.00 pm

Venue- Classroom-2, 4th Floor (Parallel Session-II)

Chair: Dr. R Karpaga  
Kumaravel

Coordinator: Dr. Narendra Parchure

Sr. No.	Time	Name of Delegate	Title of Research Paper
1	3.00 pm-3.05 pm	Dr. Sukmaya Lama	Empowerment through Research: a KKHSOU perspective
2	3.05 pm-3.10 pm	Qusai Khalid Abdalhameed	Practical strategies to promote inclusion in Distance education.
3	3.10 pm-3.15 pm	Mr. Mukesh Agarwal	A Study on Women's Empowerment through Open Distance Learning – A Case Study on Dr. D. Y. Patil Vidyapeeth, s Institute of Distance Learning Pune.
4	3.15 pm-3.20 pm	Ms. Asheema Singh, Mr. Aditi Ranjan Rout	Mitra- the Adolescent Friendly Centre: Outreaching the Open School Learners for Developing Potential through Life Skills Education
5	3.20 pm-3.25 pm	Surendra Madhav Patil	Study Of The Role Of Kamayani Udyog Kendra (Pune) In The Enhancement Of Employability Of The Mentally Disabled Candidates.
6	3.25 pm-3.30 pm	Ms. Anitha Nair, Dr. (Mrs.) Praveen Chauhan,	Empowerment of Women through ODL - NIOS a Case Study
7	3.30 pm-3.35 pm	Honorene H. Johnson	A new outlook to Inclusive Education
8	3.35 pm-3.40 pm	Ms. Jidapa Thavarit	ODL as an enabler for empowering women
	<b>3.40 pm-4.00 pm</b>	<b>Dr. R Karpaga Kumaravel</b>	<b>Concluding Remarks</b>

# CERTIFICATE

III.A1.d.17



**SYMBIOSIS**  
Centre for Distance Learning



INTERNATIONAL  
COUNCIL FOR OPEN AND  
DISTANCE EDUCATION

## ICDE International Conference 2017

This is to certify that Mr/Ms Ali Muktiyanto Ambar of  
Universitas Terbuka participated/presented  
paper titled Analyzing Face to Face Practicum As A Learning  
Support For Students in A Distance Education System in the conference  
held on 10 -11 March, 2017 at Symbiosis Centre for Distance  
Learning, Pune, India.

**Dr. Swati Mujumdar**  
Director, SCDL

**Mr. Gard Titlestad**  
Secretary General, ICDE



# CERTIFICATE

III.A.1d.12




INTERNATIONAL  
COUNCIL FOR OPEN AND  
DISTANCE EDUCATION

## ICDE International Conference 2017

This is to certify that Mr/Ms Roosina Hastati of  
Universitas Terbuka participated/presented  
paper titled Analyzing face to face practicum as a learning support for students in a  
Distance Education System in the conference  
held on 10 -11 March, 2017 at Symbiosis Centre for Distance  
Learning, Pune, India.



**Dr. Swati Mujumdar**  
Director, SCDL



**Mr. Gard Titlestad**  
Secretary General, ICDE